IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 20TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 1ST PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 36478 of 2004(P)

PETITIONER:

SOUMYA (MINOR), AGED 17 YEARS,
REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN
K.LEKSHMIKUTTY AMMA, W/O. SUKUMARAN,
KUZHIKKATTIL HOUSE, P.O.CHIRAKKAL.

BY ADV. SRI.P.CHANDRASEKHAR
SMT.P.B.RASHEEDA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, DEPTT.
OF GENERAL EDUCATION, TRIVANDRUM

2. THE COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT
EXAMINATIONS, PAREEKSHA BHAVAN,
POOJAPPURA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-12.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER – ADV. SMT. SMITHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 20/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C)No. 36478/2004

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 COPY OF MARK SHEET OF SECONDARY SCHOOL LEAVING CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2 COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 01/07/2004 OF THE SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 COPY OF THE PHYSICS QUESTION PAPER.

EXT.P4 COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S PHYSICS ANSWER SCRIPT.

EXT.P5 COPY OF THE BIOLOGY QUESTION PAPER.

EXT.P6 COPY OF THE PETITIONER'S BIOLOGY ANSWER SCRIPT.

EXT.P7 COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 28/10/2004 OF THE SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF EXAMINATIONS, TO THE PRIVATE SECRETARY HON'BLE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION.

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE

jg

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

WPC NO. 36478 OF 2004

Dated, this the 20th day of February, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is filed challenging revaluation done in the Physics and Biology papers of the petitioner in the SSLC Examination. Petitioner got improvement on revaluation by getting one mark more in both subjects, making her above 90% plus in both subjects. Even though the counsel attempted to demonstrate in Court that some of the answers deserve better marks, I am unable to be persuaded for referring the matter for another valuation because on going through the answers in disputed questions, I am not satisfied that those are perfect answers warranting reward of full marks. In the circumstance, this writ petition fails and is therefore dismissed.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

jg